

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/085,056	MARUYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

All Participants:

 (1) Juliet C. Switzer.

 (2) Thomas Cunningham.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11/4/2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: *paper copies of Figures 3 and 4 were given to the examiner.*
Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Cunningham provided the examiner with paper copies of figures 3 and 4 for the examiner's consideration of the results contained in the figures because the figures did not scan well into the electronic file.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____